



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,361	09/14/2004	Timothy C. Bonerb	BON.US.55	5360
24111 7590 11/20/2008 MESMER & DELEAULT, PLLC 1 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE. SUITE 125 PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801			EXAMINER FOX, CHARLES A	
			ART UNIT 3652	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 11/20/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PHIL@BIZ-PATLAW.COM
mailbox@biz-patlaw.com
phildecker@mac.com

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10711361	9/14/2004	BONERB, TIMOTHY C.	BON.US.55

MESMER & DELEAULT, PLLC
1 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE.
SUITE 125
PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801

EXAMINER

CHARLES FOX

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3652	20081117
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This letter is in response to phone messages from Phil Decker regarding the rejections of claims 24. Claim 24 should have been rejected along with claim 23 in the office action mailed on October 29, 2008. The primary reference to Bailey et al. teaches the concept of the bottom wall being any angle below 90 degrees relative to the horizontal plane. It is further noted that the instant specification give no relative angle as claimed and none are set forth in the drawings. As such the angle as set forth in claim 24 is met by Bailey et al. as well as being an obvious design choice. The examiner regrets the omission of claim 24 in the above office action.

/ Charles A. Fox/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652